## Orangeburg 5

**ABSOLUTE RATING:** Below Average **IMPROVEMENT RATING:** Below Average

**Absolute Ratings of Similar Districts** 

Unsatisfactory Below Average Average Good Excellent

#### **Definitions of District Rating Terms**

Excellent- District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC

Good- District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal. Average- District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Below Average- District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC

Unsatisfactory- District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

## PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our District

Districts With Students Like Ours



1% 16%





**Mathematics** 

English/ Language Arts

**Mathematics** 

English/ Language Arts

**Advanced** 

**Proficient** 

**Basic** 

**Below Basic** 

#### **DEFINITIONS OF CRITICAL TERMS:**

- Advanced Student performance exceeded expectations.
- Proficient Student performance met expectations.
- Basic Student performance met minimum performance expectations.
- Below Basic Student performance did not meet minimum performance expectations.

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS							
Student Group	Exit Exam Rate by Spi N		Eligibil for LIF Schola N			nts Scoring ve on The % ELA	-
All students	483	87.4%	431	6.5%	2,897	60.0%	50.7%
Students with disabilitie other than speech	s 92	71.7%	42	0.0%	185	36.8%	30.3%
Students without disabilities	386	89.6%	389	7.2%	2,501	66.8%	56.5%
Gender							
Male	200	86.0%	203	4.4%	1,425	53.4%	49.2%
Female	278	86.3%	228	8.3%	1,472	66.4%	52.1%
<b>Ethnic Group</b>							
African American	419	84.7%	390	5.6%	2,569	58.7%	49.2%
Hispanic	1	I/S	0	N/A	10	50.0%	30.0%
White	54	96.3%	39	15.4%	295	69.2%	61.7%
Other	3	I/S	2	I/S	23	91.3%	82.6%
Lunch Status							
Free/reduced-price lunc Pay for lunch	ch 319 157	81.5% 95.5%	218 213	4.1% 8.9%	2,207 433	62.3% 81.3%	52.3% 70.4%

N equals number of students on which percentages are calculated.

### Orangeburg 5

# TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM

	First-time Exa	ımınees	
	2000	2001	2002
Our district			
Passed all 3 subtests	50.7%	63.8%	58.9%
Passed 2 subtests	21.2%	16.6%	22.0%
Passed 1 subtest	17.3%	11.9%	12.3%
Passed no subtest	10.9%	7.6%	6.7%
Districts with students like ours			
Passed all 3 subtests	44.1%	52.3%	52.3%
Passed 2 subtests	22.2%	19.8%	21.7%
Passed 1 subtest	18.3%	15.9%	13.5%
Passed no subtest	15.4%	11 0%	12 5%

#### LIFE scholarships at four-year institutions\*

	Percent of Seniors	
	Meeting Grade Point	Meeting SAT/ACT
Eligible	Average Requirement	Requirement
6.5	42.7	6.7

6.8

Districts Like Ours 6.5 32.8 \*Using the criteria for students who entered college in fall 2001.

#### College Admissions Tests: Tests that are frequently used in the college admissions process.

	SAT	SAT	SAT	ACT	ACT	ACT	ACT	ACT
	Verbal	Math	Total	English	Math	Reading	Science	Total
	2001 2002	2001 2002	2001 2002	2001 2002	2001 2002	2001 2002	2001 2002	2001 2002
District	423 413	435 420	858 833	16.4 15.6	18.4 17.1	17.0 16.4	17.2 16.6	17.4 16.6
State	486 488	488 493	974 981	18.8 18.8	19.3 19.1	19.5 19.3	19.2 19.2	19.3 19.2
Nation	506 504	514 516	1020 1020	20.5 20.2	20.7 20.6	21.3 21.1	21.0 20.8	21.0 20.8

These tests were administered to samples of students:

#### Terra Nova Test: A national, norm-referenced achievement test. Percent scoring in upper half

	Rea	ding	Lang	juage	M	ath	To	otal
	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation
Grade 4	47.8	50.0.	43.1	50.0	58.4	50.0	50.5	50.0
Grade 7	45.8	50.0	59.4	50.0	54.7	50.0	53.9	50.0
Grade 10	59.6	50.0	59.5	50.0	62.4	50.0	59.1	50.0

National Assessment of Education Progress: A national, criterion-referenced achievement test.

#### **Percents of Students**

			Adv	anced	Pro	ficient	B	asic	Belov	v Basic
Test	Grade	Year	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation
Reading	4	1998	4	6	18	23	33	32	45	39
Writing	8	1998	0	1	15	23	64	59	21	17
Mathematics	4	2000	2	3	16	23	42	43	40	31

ABBREVIATIONS FOR MISSING DATA

N/A – Not Applicable

N/C - Not Collected

N/R - Not Reported

Our District

I/S - Insufficient Sample





<sup>\*</sup>Using the criteria for students who entered college in fall 2001.

# DISTRICT PROFILE INDICATORS OF DISTRICT PERFORMANCE

	This District	Change from Last Year	With Students Like Ours	Median District
DISTRICT				
Dollars per student	\$8,253	Up 10.6%	\$8,211	\$7,072
Prime instructional time	89.6%	Up from 88.6%	89.2%	89.9%
<ul> <li>Student-teacher ratio</li> </ul>	16.1 to 1	Down from 19.6 to 1	18.3 to 1	18.6 to 1
<ul> <li>Vacancies for more than nine weeks</li> </ul>	0.9%	Down from 1.9%	1.2%	0.4%
STUDENTS (n=7,694)				T
<ul> <li>Advanced placement/ Int'l baccalaureate program:</li> </ul>				
Participation Rate	10.5%	N/A	6.8%	9.3%
Exam Success Rate	8.7%	N/A	10.5%	52.7%
Attendance Rate	96.0%	Up from 95.4%	95.9%	96.0%
<ul> <li>Taking PACT (ELA) off grade level</li> </ul>	7.7%	Up from 6.7%	8.9%	7.1%
<ul> <li>Taking PACT (Math) off grade level</li> </ul>	7.5%	Up from 6.5%	7.5%	5.6%
Retention rate	6.8%	Down from 7.4%	6.9%	5.6%
TEACHERS (n=543)				
<ul> <li>Professional development days per teacher</li> </ul>	N/R	N/R	5.0 Days	5.0 Days
Attendance rate	95.4%	Up from 94.7%	95.0%	95.0%
Advanced Degrees	57.1%	Up from 50.9%	40.9%	46.6%
Continuing contracts	80.5%	No change	76.4%	83.1%
Out-of-field permits	1.7%	Up from 1.5%	2.7%	2.0%
<ul> <li>Teachers returning from the previous year</li> </ul>	86.7%	Down from 87.1%	85.0%	88.6%
Average salary	\$41,172	Up 5.0%	\$36,983	\$39,023

Dietriete

#### **DISTRICT FACTS**

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, -	Down from 4.5% Up from 46.4%	3.5%	3.1%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.3%	I In from 46 49/		
teacher salaries		Op 110111 40.4 %	50.2%	53.7%
• Superintendent's years in the district 2.0		No change	2.0	3.0
• Parent conferences 99.	.0%	Up from 89.1%	87.1%	93.9%
Opportunities in the arts     Exception	cellent	Up from Good	Good	Excellent
• Number of schools 15		Up from 14	6	8
• Number of alternative 0 schools		No change	0	0
Number of charter schools		No change	0	0
• Number of magnet schools 0		No change	0	0
• Portable classrooms 3.0	1%	Down from 6.7%	6.6%	6.6%
<ul> <li>Attendance rate of district 95.</li> <li>office staff</li> </ul>	.1%	N/R	95.6%	96.8%
<ul> <li>Average administrative \$70 salary</li> </ul>	0,719	Up 6.4%	\$65,856	\$66,570
STUDENTS				
<ul> <li>Enrollment in adult education GED or diploma programs</li> </ul>	9	N/A	124	129
Number of completions in adult education GED or diploma programs		N/A	24	37
<ul> <li>Suspensions and expulsions 5.0</li> </ul>	1%	N/A	2.4%	1.5%
<ul> <li>Percent eligible for state gifted and talented programs</li> </ul>	!%	Down from 7.9%	5.9%	10.6%
Percentage with disabilities     other than speech	%	Down from 11.1%	10.7%	10.7%
3805				3805





Grades K-12

Enrollment: 7,694 Students

Superintendent Mr. Melvin Smoak 803-534-5454 Board Chair Mr. Melvin Crum 803-534-5454

# THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Annual District Report Card

2002

#### DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

Orangeburg Consolidated School District Five strives to ensure a high-quality education for all children by providing challenging learning opportunities in a safe, nurturing environment. To reach that end, the district has intensified its efforts to recruit and retain highly qualified and capable teachers, and to keep them on the cutting edge of the most effective and current teaching strategies. For example, teachers and administrators in the district are provided with continuous professional development through in-district and out-of-district workshops, courses, and conferences. We are also restructuring the regular school day to furnish greater time for teacher planning and to extend academic learning opportunities for students.

Through the expansion of the use of technology, the district has increased academic learning opportunities for all students, at all grade levels, in all content areas, with special emphasis on the core academic areas. Using computer programs, like Accelerated Reader and Accelerated Math and the utilization of newly refurbished computer labs, the district has further emphasized academic success for students in the core academic areas. In spite of budget shortfalls, we are striving to maintain low pupil-teacher ratios and to build a budget that will not sacrifice any academic programs, which will greatly increase the potential for academic success for our students.

It has always been our belief that every child can learn, and we have enhanced existing programs and implemented new programs to help meet the needs of all of our students, from those in need of academic assistance to our most academically-talented students in the International Baccalaureate, Magnet, Honors and Advanced Placement Programs. We are experiencing academic gains across the district due to the increased parental involvement, greater emphasis on results in student performance, and the continued push for excellence by the entire staff. We are intensifying our efforts in each of those areas to ensure that our students meet and exceed state academic standards

With the continued and increased support of parents, citizens and businesses in our community, we will be able to reach our educational goals and ensure that every child in our district receives a high-quality education and is prepared to meet the challenges the 21st Century will bring.

#### South Carolina Performance Goal:

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the five fastest improving systems in the country.

For more information, visit www.myscschools.com or www. sceoc.org

